

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/619,537	07/15/2003	Emanuel A. Hendriks	7016S-000002	5951		
27572 . 7590 10/15/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, D	ICKEY & PIERCE, P	NOVOSAD, CH	NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3671			
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·					n		
•		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
•			537	HENDRIKS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
			her J. Novosad	3671			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addres	SS		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI consists of time may be available under the provisions of the provision of the provisio	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>24-29</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 15-23</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any object		·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	vote the attached Office	Action or form P1O-1	52.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the cer	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Staç	g <b>e</b>		
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ir No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/08/03, 01/05/04(</u> ? SHEF	PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152	<b>'</b> )		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2 and 15-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tyetene et al.

Note the abstract, figures, col. 16, lines 14-25 and 36-41.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zinn.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

Note the abstract, figures; col. 5, lines 39-53; col. 6, lines 34-47; and col. 7, lines 34-48.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by European reference '429.

Note the abstract, figures and paragraphs 0018 and 0033.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hutchison.

Note the abstract and figures of Hutchison.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tvetene *et al.* in view of Schouten.

Tvetene et al. show the structure of the apparatus as noted.

The claims distinguish over Tvetene *et al.* in requiring (1) the accumulator to comprise a retractable portion which allows an operator to return a rejected sod roll to the sod field (as required in claim 3); (2) a ramp to be disposed below the retractable portion (as required in claim 4); and (3) the robotic arm to comprise a horizontal pick-up head having a plurality of gripper modules, each gripper module being configured to engage a separate individual sod roll (as required in claim 5) and (4) the accumulator to comprise a retractor mechanism which retracts a portion of the accumulator from a first position to a second position, wherein a sod roll will drop

Application/Control Number: 10/619,537

Art Unit: 3671

to the sod field when the portion of the accumulator is in its first position (as required in claim 22).

Note the abstract, figures and the disclosure in col. 9, lines 14-19 of Schouten.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus of Tvetene *et al.* with the structure noted of Schouten for greater user convenience and ease of operation and ease of sod roll handling.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 24-29 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 703-308-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A Novosad

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

October 7, 2004